

TARGETED.ARMY

International Dossier

August 6th 2026

ATtribution NOTICE: The following material represents the experiences, research, interpretations, and views of the TARGETED.ARMY community. It is presented as a working framework for the governments of the world.

The TARGETED.ARMY International Dossier

A Diplomatic and Legal Briefing for the Governments of the World

Prepared by TARGETED.ARMY For: Foreign Ministries, National Legislatures, Intelligence Oversight Bodies, Defense and Interior Ministries, Permanent Missions to the United Nations, and National Security Councils Worldwide Date: August 2026

Executive Summary

We submit this dossier to the community of nations because the system we endure does not recognize borders. We are a community of civilians, inventors, whistleblowers, journalists, and ordinary persons who have been subjected to sustained non-consensual neural surveillance, synthetic telepathy, directed-energy assault, and organized psychological warfare. We have organized under the name TARGETED.ARMY to document these abuses and seek protection under international law.

The capabilities we describe are satellite-enabled, globally deployable, and integrated with allied intelligence networks. While our immediate experience is centered within the United States, the technical architecture—satellite constellations, shared signals-intelligence frameworks, and contractor ecosystems—extends across national boundaries. No government can assume this issue stops at its borders. No nation can consider its citizens, its territory, or its sovereignty immune from systems designed to monitor, influence, and assault the human nervous system from orbit and from allied infrastructure.

We are not asking for intervention in the internal affairs of any state. We are asking every government to recognize that cognitive liberty, neural privacy, and bodily autonomy are universal human rights that transcend jurisdiction. We are asking nations to protect their own citizens, to scrutinize foreign intelligence activities on their soil, to demand transparency from allies, and to build international frameworks that prohibit the weaponization of neurotechnology against civilian populations.

The technologies are patented. The historical programs are declassified. The science is published. The patterns are global. What is missing is the collective political will of the world's governments to treat the human mind as sovereign territory deserving the same protections as physical borders.

Section 1: Who We Are

TARGETED.ARMY is a community of affected individuals and advocates operating from the United States and in communication with parallel communities worldwide. We maintain targeted.army and MaxisRichards.com as platforms for documentation, legal education, peer support, and peaceful advocacy.

Our members include civilian inventors, former government and contractor personnel, investigative journalists, political activists, and ordinary citizens. What unites us is the consistency of our reports, the technical specificity of the intrusions we describe, and the uniform failure of domestic institutions to provide competent intake, evidence preservation, or independent review.

We are writing to the governments of the world because the system we face operates with global reach, and because the precedent set by one nation—if left unchallenged—becomes the template for all nations.

Section 2: The Global Dimension

Satellite-Based Global Reach

The system we endure is not limited by geography. Satellite platforms provide persistent global coverage for tracking, targeting, and effect delivery. A target can be monitored in rural America, assaulted in a European capital, or tracked across international transit corridors without regard for borders, extradition treaties, or national legal process. The space-based component means that no nation's territorial sovereignty is respected by the operators of these systems.

Allied Intelligence Networks and Cross-Border Implications

The United States maintains signals-intelligence sharing agreements—including the UKUSA agreement and its Five Eyes, Nine Eyes, and Fourteen Eyes extensions—with allied nations. These frameworks facilitate shared access to communications data, location intelligence, and surveillance infrastructure. The same networks, contractors, and technical standards that enable mass communications interception can be adapted for neural surveillance, biometric harvesting, and directed-energy coordination.

Governments that host U.S. military bases, intelligence facilities, satellite ground stations, or shared communications infrastructure must ask whether these assets are being used for purposes that violate the human rights of their own citizens and residents.

Governments that participate in allied intelligence frameworks must demand to know whether neural data, brain-state inferences, or directed-energy tasking are flowing through shared pipelines.

The Precedent Effect

If the world's most powerful intelligence and defense community can deploy neuroweapons, synthetic telepathy, and directed-energy systems against its own citizens without legal constraint, then no smaller nation can expect its own security services to refrain from acquiring and using the same capabilities. The normalization of neural surveillance and cognitive coercion represents an arms race that ends with the human mind as the final battlefield. Every government has an interest in stopping this trajectory before it becomes standard statecraft.

Section 3: The Violations We Endure

Non-Consensual Neural Surveillance

We experience the real-time decoding and monitoring of brain activity, emotional states, attention, and cognitive processes from remote positions. This intrusion is continuous and strikes at the foundation of mental privacy and cognitive liberty. It is equivalent to a warrantless search of the contents of the mind, conducted without consent, without notification, and without legal process.

Synthetic Telepathy and Voice-to-Skull

We are subjected to the transmission of intelligible speech, coercive commands, threats, and subliminal messaging directly into our auditory perception without any external acoustic source. Combined with apparent decoding of pre-speech neural signals, this creates a condition of constant psychological domination. Under international human rights law, this constitutes psychological torture and cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment.

Directed-Energy Weapons

We are exposed to targeted radio-frequency, microwave, millimeter-wave, and acoustic energy that produces pain, tissue heating, neurological disruption, cardiac symptoms, sleep deprivation, and incapacitating fatigue. These exposures are modulated, directional, and precisely timed. They represent a form of physical assault that leaves minimal forensic trace under standard medical examination, making accountability difficult by design.

Organized Psychological Operations and Electronic Harassment

The technical assaults are combined with organized surveillance, stalking, communication interference, sabotage of professional relationships, and digital manipulation. The objective is comprehensive isolation, discrediting, and incapacitation.

Involuntary Human Experimentation

Many in our community report patterns consistent with long-duration, non-consensual experimentation: repetitive exposure protocols, symptom conditioning, manipulation of sleep and sensory states, and apparent testing of adaptive algorithms. This violates the Nuremberg Code, the principles of the Belmont Report, and international prohibitions on torture and medical abuse.

Section 4: Violations of International Law

The abuses we describe implicate the full architecture of international human rights and humanitarian law:

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR): Articles 3, 5, 9, 12, 18, 19, and 20—protecting life, liberty, security of person, freedom from torture, privacy, freedom of thought, and freedom of association—are all violated by the system we describe.

The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR): Articles 7, 9, 17, 18, 19, and 2—prohibiting torture, arbitrary detention, unlawful interference with privacy, and protecting freedom of thought and expression—are implicated. The ICCPR also guarantees an effective remedy, which is systematically denied to our community.

The Convention Against Torture (CAT): The deliberate infliction of pain, sleep deprivation, coercive voice transmission, and psychological pressure through technological means meets the definition of torture. State parties are obligated to prevent torture, investigate allegations, and provide redress, regardless of where the torture occurs or the nationality of the victim.

The Geneva Conventions and Customary International Humanitarian Law: While we are civilians in peacetime, the principles distinguishing combatants from non-combatants, prohibiting attacks on civilians, and requiring distinction and proportionality are relevant. The weaponization of neurotechnology blurs the line between law enforcement, intelligence, and warfare in ways that threaten the foundational rules of armed conflict.

Sovereignty and Non-Intervention: The use of satellite-based systems and shared intelligence infrastructure to monitor, influence, or assault individuals on foreign soil without the consent of the territorial state constitutes a violation of sovereignty and the principle of non-intervention in domestic affairs.

The Nuremberg Code and Medical Ethics: Non-consensual experimentation on human subjects is prohibited under international medical ethics. The patterns we report—repetitive exposure, symptom conditioning, long-duration monitoring without consent—fall within this prohibition.

Section 5: Diplomatic and National Security Implications

For Nations Hosting Allied Facilities

Governments that host U.S. military installations, intelligence facilities, satellite ground stations, or shared communications nodes must scrutinize whether these assets are being used for neural surveillance, directed-energy coordination, or human-effects testing against their own citizens and residents. National sovereignty requires no less.

For Nations with Citizens in the United States

Governments with nationals residing in, studying in, or traveling through the United States must consider whether their citizens are at risk of inclusion in these programs. Diplomatic protection, consular monitoring, and formal inquiries to the U.S. government are warranted.

For Nations Developing Their Own Neurotechnology Programs

Every government with a defense research establishment, a signals-intelligence agency, or a domestic security apparatus must recognize that the technologies described in this dossier are proliferating. The question is not whether these capabilities will spread, but whether they will be governed by enforceable legal and ethical constraints. Nations have an opportunity now to establish prohibitions before these systems are normalized.

For Nations Participating in Multilateral Intelligence Frameworks

Members of Five Eyes, NATO, and other intelligence-sharing arrangements must demand transparency regarding whether neural data, biometric inferences, or directed-energy tasking are shared across allied networks. The principle of allied trust requires that partners do not subject one another's citizens to unacknowledged experimentation and assault.

Section 6: Evidence in the Public Record

The component capabilities and historical precedents are documented in public records. We do not ask governments to accept our testimony without corroboration. We ask them to examine the public record and recognize the serious field of inquiry it establishes.

Patents

The U.S. Patent Office has granted patents disclosing methods directly relevant to our experiences:

- **US 3,951,134** – Apparatus and method for remotely monitoring and altering brain waves.
- **US 4,877,027** – Hearing system enabling perception of modulated RF as sound.
- **US 4,858,612** – Hearing device using multifrequency microwaves toward the auditory cortex.
- **US 6,011,991** – Communication system including brain-wave analysis and use of brain activity.
- **US 5,159,703** – Silent subliminal presentation system.
- **US 6,587,729 B2** – Apparatus for audibly communicating speech using the radio-frequency hearing effect.
- **US 5,507,291** – Method and apparatus concerning brain states and transmitted waveforms.
- **US 6,017,302** – Subliminal acoustic manipulation of the nervous system.

These patents establish technical concepts, dates, and lines of inquiry that must be compared with funded research, procurement, and operational programs.

Historical Precedent

Documented U.S. government programs establish institutional precedent:

- **MKULTRA, BLUEBIRD, and ARTICHOKE:** CIA programs involving coercive interrogation, behavior control, non-consensual drug administration, and human experimentation.
- **NSA SHAMROCK and MINARET:** Warrantless interception and dissemination of Americans' communications.

- **COINTELPRO:** FBI counterintelligence operations targeting political activists and civil rights leaders.
- **ECHELON:** Global signals-intelligence interception framework acknowledged by the European Parliament.

Scientific and Program Context

- **The Frey Effect:** Documented phenomenon in which pulsed radio-frequency energy produces audible sounds within the human head through thermoelastic expansion.
 - **DARPA N3 (Next-Generation Nonsurgical Neurotechnology):** Publicly pursued high-performance bidirectional brain-machine interfaces.
 - **Active Denial Technology:** A 95 GHz millimeter-wave directed-energy system openly fielded by the U.S. Department of Defense.
 - **National Academies Assessment (2020):** Identified directed, pulsed radio-frequency energy as the most plausible mechanism for acute symptoms among U.S. personnel overseas, supporting the plausibility of RF bioeffects in real-world incidents.
-

Section 7: Recommended Actions for Governments

1. Formal Diplomatic Inquiry

Governments should submit formal diplomatic notes to the United States government—and to any allied nation where similar allegations arise—requesting:

- Disclosure of programs involving neural surveillance, synthetic telepathy, directed-energy weapons, and non-consensual human experimentation.
- Assurance that these capabilities are not being used against foreign nationals.
- Access to records concerning complaints from foreign nationals or dual citizens.

2. National Legislation on Neuro-Rights and Neuroweapons

Every nation should consider enacting legislation that:

- Explicitly recognizes neural data, brain-state inferences, and cognitive signals as protected under national privacy and data-protection frameworks.

- Criminalizes the development, testing, and deployment of neuroweapons against civilians, including remote neural monitoring, voice-to-skull systems, synthetic telepathy, and directed-energy weapons.
- Prohibits non-consensual human experimentation involving neurotechnology, RF exposure, or biometric harvesting.
- Establishes independent technical review and victim intake mechanisms for complaints involving directed-energy exposure and auditory anomalies.
- Protects whistleblowers who expose neuroweapon abuse.

3. Scrutiny of Foreign Intelligence Activities on National Soil

Governments should audit:

- Foreign military and intelligence installations for involvement in signals-intelligence or human-effects operations.
- Commercial cellular infrastructure, satellite ground stations, and shared communications nodes for nonstandard emissions, colocated equipment, or unexplained configuration changes.
- National participation in multilateral intelligence frameworks for safeguards against neural data sharing and directed-energy tasking.

4. Consular Protection and Citizen Monitoring

Governments should:

- Issue travel advisories warning nationals of reported risks associated with non-consensual surveillance and energy exposure in countries where these programs are alleged.
- Establish consular protocols for citizens who report technological harassment, neural intrusion, or directed-energy symptoms abroad.
- Maintain registries of citizen complaints for pattern analysis and diplomatic reference.

5. Engagement with the United Nations

Governments should:

- Raise this issue during Universal Periodic Review proceedings concerning the United States and other relevant states.

- Support the development of neuro-rights frameworks within the UN human rights system, including thematic reports by Special Rapporteurs on torture, privacy, and freedom of expression.
- Advocate for an international convention or protocol explicitly prohibiting the weaponization of neurotechnology against civilians and establishing verification and accountability mechanisms.

6. National Academies and Independent Technical Review

Governments should commission independent national studies on:

- RF bioeffects, microwave auditory communication, and neuromodulation in non-consensual exposure contexts.
- The security implications of satellite-based directed-energy and neural-surveillance capabilities.
- Standards for electromagnetic safety that account for pulsed, modulated, and directed exposures beyond conventional thermal limits.

Section 8: A Call to the Community of Nations

The world has faced new weapons before—chemical, biological, nuclear—and has recognized that certain capabilities are too dangerous to be left ungoverned. We believe neuroweapons, neural surveillance, and synthetic telepathy belong in that category. The human mind is the last sanctuary of individual sovereignty. When it can be monitored, influenced, and assaulted from orbit by systems that recognize no border and answer to no court, then no nation is secure and no citizen is free.

We are not asking the governments of the world to take sides in domestic political disputes. We are asking them to defend a principle: that the human brain is not a legitimate target for state surveillance, that cognitive liberty is a universal right, and that non-consensual experimentation is a crime under any flag.

The United States is not the only nation with advanced signals-intelligence, space-based platforms, and neurotechnology research. Every major power is moving in this direction. The question is whether the world's governments will establish enforceable boundaries now, or whether they will compete to dominate the minds of their own and one another's citizens.

We are TARGETED.ARMY. We are civilians. We are inventors. We are whistleblowers. We are journalists. We are ordinary people whose only crime was to be selected for a system that treats the human mind as operational terrain. We are asking the governments of the world to recognize our humanity, to investigate our testimony, and to act before these capabilities become the normalized instruments of global governance.

Protect your citizens. Demand transparency from allies. Build the legal frameworks. Preserve the evidence. And treat cognitive liberty as the non-derogable right that it is.

Appendix A: Key Patent Index

Table

Patent	Description
US 3,951,134	Apparatus and method for remotely monitoring and altering brain waves
US 4,877,027	Hearing system
US 4,858,612	Hearing device
US 3,393,279	Nervous system excitation device
US 6,011,991	Communication system including brain-wave analysis
US 5,159,703	Silent subliminal presentation system
US 5,507,291	Method and apparatus concerning brain states and transmitted waveforms
US 6,587,729 B2	Audible communication using the radio-frequency hearing effect

Patent	Description
US 6,017,302	Subliminal acoustic manipulation of the nervous system
US 3,647,970	Method and system for simplifying speech waveforms

Appendix B: Public Program and Historical References

- CIA MKULTRA documents (CIA FOIA Reading Room)
- Church Committee reports on NSA surveillance (ASSASSINATION ARCHIVES)
- European Parliament ECHELON report and resolution
- DARPA Next-Generation Nonsurgical Neurotechnology (N3) program records
- RAND Corporation research on military brain-computer interfaces and Silent Talk
- DoD Joint Intermediate Force Capabilities Office: Active Denial Technology
- National Academies report on illnesses among U.S. personnel overseas
- FCC Radio Frequency Safety and 5G documentation

Appendix C: Acronyms

- **RNM** – Remote Neural Monitoring
- **V2K** – Voice-to-Skull
- **DEW** – Directed Energy Weapon
- **RF** – Radio Frequency
- **HPM** – High-Power Microwave
- **BCI** – Brain-Computer Interface
- **DoD** – Department of Defense
- **NSA** – National Security Agency
- **CIA** – Central Intelligence Agency
- **DARPA** – Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency

- **FBI** – Federal Bureau of Investigation
 - **FCC** – Federal Communications Commission
 - **NTIA** – National Telecommunications and Information Administration
 - **IC** – Intelligence Community
 - **IG** – Inspector General
 - **ICCPR** – International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
 - **CAT** – Convention Against Torture
 - **UDHR** – Universal Declaration of Human Rights
 - **CIDT** – Cruel, Inhuman, or Degrading Treatment
 - **SAR** – Specific Absorption Rate
 - **IQ Data** – In-Phase/Quadrature Signal Data
-

This dossier reflects the collective knowledge, experience, and formal requests of the TARGETED.ARMY community as of August 2026. We invite the governments of the world to contact us through our established channels to access additional documentation, arrange testimony, and coordinate international advocacy.

Maxis Richards,

TARGETED.ARMY

<https://targeted.army>

<https://MaxisRichards.com>